





Energy Regulatory Commission Office of the Energy Regulatory Commission

Session IV:

FACILITATING INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES FOR ENERGY TRANSITION

Lessons from Great Britain on Regulating for the Energy Transition

William Derbyshire

Economic Consulting Associates





Forecasts for the rate of heat pump installations range from 0.5-1.5 million homes per year. Within 10 years, electricity used for domestic heating is expected to increase anywhere between 0 and 25 TWh







Distribution-connected generation ranges from 46 to 76 GW by 2035. Electricity peak demand on the grid differs by up to 14 GW or 20% across scenarios.

Distribution-connected generation, GW (National Grid ESO, Future Energy Scenarios)









Costs of materials and labour have become increasingly volatile, meaning indexation to consumer price inflation fails to reflect changes in input costs









5





For RIIO-ED2 (2023-28), a total of 37 uncertainty mechanisms are defined



Reop	pener	UIOLI	Volume driver	Pass-through	Indexation
Coordinated adjustment	Load-related expenditure	Cyber resilience operational tech	Load-related: LV services	Bad debts	Cost of debt (indexe to trailing average)
Cyber resilience IT	Net Zero	Visual amenity	Load-related: Secondary reinforce	Business rates	Cost of equity (indexed to RFR)
Cyber resilience operational tech	Physical security	Worst-served customers	Polychlorinated Biphenyls	Ofgem licence fees	Regulatory asset value (indexed to CF
Digitalisation	Rail electrification		Indirect scaler	Pension deficits repair mechanism	Real price effects (<i>I</i> from CPI)
DSO separation	Storm Arwen			Ringfence costs	
Electricity system restoration	Streetwork costs			Severe weather 1-in- 20 events	
Environmental	Tax review			Smart meter communications	
High value projects	Wayleaves and diversions			Smart meter IT	
				Supplier of last resort	
				Transmission	

connection charges





Purpose	Allow responses to changes arising from new policies (eg, Sixth Carbon Budget)	
Reopener window	Any time	
Trigger	By Ofgem, on the advice of Net Zero Advisory Group (*)	
Materiality threshold	Change >0.5% of annual average base revenues	

The Net Zero reopener mechanism allows withinperiod adjustments to allowed revenues to respond to changing policies

By their nature, the nature, size, and costs of such changes are not known in advance. Therefore, they are addressed through a specific review of changes proposed by DNOs, once a reopener is triggered by Ofgem

^(*) Members are: Ofgem | Treasury | Department for Transport | Officer for Zero Emissions Vehicles | Scottish Government | Welsh Government | National Infrastructure Commission | Climate Change Committee | Citizens Advice





Ofgem is very aware of the risk of being 'swamped' by reopener proposals. To avoid this, it imposes various restrictions on when a reopener can be requested, and adjusts the review process to the scale of the proposed changes

Application windows	Limited to one week January of each year for DNO-triggered reopeners (with some exceptions) Any time for Ofgem-triggered reopeners			
Pre-application engagement	DNOs should engage with Ofgem at least three months prior to application, to check eligibility and identify major issues			
Format of applications	Must include cost-benefit analysis and engineering justification paper, following templates specified by Ofgem			
Assessment processes graded by significance		Fast track	Standard	Additional scrutiny
	Multiple of materiality threshold	< 1.5x	1.5x – 5x	> 5x
	Policy issues	No	Optional	Optional
	Estimated time to decision	< 3 months	3 – 6 months	>6 months





Purpose	Provide a specific ex-ante allowance to be used only for improving supply quality to worst-served customers (WSC)	
Ex-ante allowance	Proposed by DNOs and set by Ofgem as part of ED2 review (ranges from £0-21 million per DNO)	
Reporting and monitoring	Annual reporting of: WSC numbers / schemes in year / progress of schemes / number of benefitting WSC / final cost per scheme	
UIOLI adjustments	Claw-back unspent allowances	

The mechanism is intended to ensure that incentives to improve average supply quality do not lead to the worst-served customers (WSC) being ignored

WSC are defined as those customers experiencing 12+ interruptions to their supply over three years (with a minimum of three interruptions in each year)

The ED2 mechanism addresses some of the reasons for underspending in previous control periods, by making the WSC definition looser and by not requiring ex-post proof of benefits (now the DNO only needs to show that the scheme is <u>intended</u> to improve quality for WSC)





Purpose	Enable additional investment in LV networks to meet net zero requirements (eg, DG and EV)	Ofgem imposes controls, to prevent abuse of the volume driver. An overall cap on expenditure under the driver is applied, and the DNO must comply with specific metrics		
Volume drivers	<i>Substations</i> : MVA additions <i>Circuits</i> : km additions by type (HV or LV and OHL or UGC)	Transformer utilisationInvestment should only be within areas of high utilisationTransformer utilisation >100% of rated capacity		
	<i>Flexibility</i> : Deferred substation (MVA) and circuits (km) additions	Transformer capacity released ratio and circuit lengths added ratioAdditions are 		
Unit costs	Median proposed rates (£/MVA and £/km), adjusted for DNO-specific labour costs	Peak demand and energy growth indicesInvestment responds to sustained growth in demandYear-on-year growth in areas of investment is positive		
Allowance	Ex-ante allowance set on forecasts and adjusted up or down to match actual volumes	Flexibility procured transformer utilisationFlexibility is only procured to avoid transformer investmentTransformer utilisation before flexibility >100% of rated capacity		





Concerns have been raised that Ofgem's focus on uncertainty mechanisms means that it avoids it committing to anticipatory investments and long lead-time investments, thereby increasing risks to investors and costs to utilities

I think I can characterise the relationship, or the perception, of the regulator, particularly Ofgem, with private investors having been a little strained. Their frustration is the balance of the desire and messaging of investing in the long term into such utilities and how that plays fundamentally, against the regulatory settlements that Ofgem has put in place. One can accurately identify that there has been a degree of a strained relationship." Darryl Murphy (Head of Infrastructure at Aviva Investors)

Undoubtedly, there has been a shift from what used to be ex ante price regulation for seven years to, essentially, rolling price reviews that, in the words of one of my clients, never end. You are constantly in discussion with the regulator, which I think remains to be seen; can it secure the investment we need for net zero?" Simon Virley (Head of Energy and Natural Resources at KPMG)





The size and costs of investments required by the energy transition are very uncertain, given policy changes and uncertainty over the speed of customer take-up

Ofgem is among the leading regulators in developing mechanisms to manage these uncertainties, with each mechanism responding to the driver of uncertainty

3

Care needs to be taken to avoid overwhelming the regulator and to retain incentives over those aspects under the utility's control (eg, unit costs)



There are also risks, including delaying investments and increasing the complexity of regulatory systems





Adaptive Regulation in Energy Transition

15 - 17 MAY 2024 | Bangkok, Thailand

Thank You

Organised By Hosted By



